

From: [Blend, Jeff](#)
To: [Suplee, Mike](#); [Tina Laidlaw/MO/R8/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [LaVigne, Paul](#)
Subject: WERF
Date: 08/09/2011 09:54 AM

From WERF study (draft):

Conclusions (p. 78):

Rather than attempt to comply with a Level 4 or 5 treatment objective, a combination of Level 3 treatment objectives and best management practices for non-point source loads might be a more viable approach at meeting the overall load targets on the receiving water body.

That is what the Nutrient Work group has been saying, although they would probably prefer to only meet level 2 rather than level 3.

Jeff Blend
(406) 841-5233
jblend@mt.gov

Economist and Energy Analyst
Energy and Pollution Prevention Bureau
Montana Dept. of Environmental Quality
1100 N. Last Chance Gulch
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620-0901